J Neurophysiol 118: 749–754, 2017.
First published May 3, 2017; doi:10.1152/jn.00208.2017.

RAPID REPORT | *Active Sensing*

Enhanced brain responses to color during smooth-pursuit eye movements

Jing Chen, Matteo Valsecchi, and Karl R. Gegenfurtner
Abteilung Allgemeine Psychologie, Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Gießen, Germany

Submitted 21 March 2017; accepted in final form 2 May 2017

Chen J, Valsecchi M, Gegenfurtner KR. Enhanced brain responses to color during smooth-pursuit eye movements. *J Neurophysiol* 118: 749–754, 2017. First published May 3, 2017; doi: 10.1152/jn.00208.2017.—Eye movements alter visual perceptions in a number of ways. During smooth-pursuit eye movements, previous studies reported decreased detection threshold for colored stimuli and for high-spatial-frequency luminance stimuli, suggesting a boost in the parvocellular system. The present study investigated the underlying neural mechanism using EEG in human participants. Participants followed a moving target with smooth-pursuit eye movements while steady-state visually evoked potentials (SSVEPs) were elicited by equiluminant red-green flickering gratings in the background. SSVEP responses to colored gratings were 18.9% higher during smooth pursuit than during fixation. There was no enhancement of SSVEPs by smooth pursuit when the flickering grating was defined by luminance instead of color. This result provides physiological evidence that the chromatic response in the visual system is boosted by the execution of smooth-pursuit eye movements in humans. Because the response improvement is thought to be the result of an improved response in the parvocellular system, SSVEPs to equiluminant stimuli could provide a direct test of parvocellular signaling, especially in populations where collecting an explicit behavioral response from the participant is not feasible.

NEW & NOTEWORTHY We constantly move our eyes when we explore the world. Eye movements alter visual perception in various ways. The smooth-pursuit eye movements have been shown to boost color sensitivity. We recorded steady-state visually evoked potentials to equiluminant chromatic flickering stimuli and observed increased steady-state visually evoked potentials when participants smoothly pursued a moving target compared with when they maintained fixation. This work provides direct neurophysiological evidence for the parvocellular boost by smooth-pursuit eye movements in humans.

smooth-pursuit eye movements; steady-state visually evoked potential; color contrast sensitivity

WE CONSTANTLY MOVE our eyes to bring objects of interest into the fovea for visual processing. Eye movements, however, present challenges to the visual system. For example, large saccadic eye movements create fast retinal motion that has to be discarded. This is thought to be achieved by a suppression of the magnocellular pathway at the time of saccades (Burr et al. 1994). Slow smooth-pursuit eye movements also alter visual performance. Object recognition is impaired during smooth pursuit compared with fixation, probably because of the larger instability in pursuit (Schütz et al. 2009a). Contrast sensitivity for moving stimuli and motion sensitivity opposite to pursuit direction are reduced (Schütz et al. 2007; Turano and Heidenreich 1999). However, sensitivity to colored stimuli is enhanced rather than hampered by smooth pursuit (Schütz et al. 2008, 2009b), and temporal resolution for color is improved (Terao et al. 2010). Because sensitivity is also increased for high-spatial-frequency luminance stimuli, it has been hypothesized that smooth-pursuit eye movements selectively boost sensory gains in the parvocellular pathway (Schütz et al. 2008).

The present study aimed to measure neural responses in visual cortex directly, using EEG to isolate neural responses induced by the visual stimuli. We specifically measured steady-state visually evoked potentials (SSVEPs), an oscillatory brain response to periodic visual stimulation likely originating from the primary visual cortex (see Norcia et al. 2015 for a review). Previous attempts have been made to measure SSVEPs to low- and high-contrast flickering stimuli to isolate the magnocellular and parvocellular pathways (Green et al. 2009; Zemon and Gordon 2006). Using luminance contrast, however, is not generally accepted as an effective way to isolate the visual pathways (Skottun and Skoyles 2011; Skottun 2014). In our study, we used instead equiluminant stimuli with flickering chromaticity, in particular flickering between equiluminant red and green at 7.5 Hz. Because magnocellular neurons do not tune to color, they would respond similarly to red and green color given equal luminance, despite some residual responses (Dobkins and Albright 1994; Gegenfurtner et al. 1994). Instead, parvocellular neurons would respond much differently to red and green color so that SSVEP responses to equiluminant flickering stimuli have to be generated mostly in the parvocellular pathway. Our results showed increased SSVEP responses to colored stimuli during smooth-pursuit eye movements, providing neurophysiological support for an enhancement of the parvocellular system by smooth pursuit in humans.

METHODS

Participants. Twenty-five observers (15 women and 10 men, age 19–45, average 26 yr) participated in the experiment. They had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and had no known neurological or oculomotor deficits. All signed written, informed consent forms before taking part in the experiment. They were naïve to the purpose of the study before finishing the test. The experiment was approved by the local ethics committee (2013-0018) and was conducted in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Apparatus and stimuli. Stimuli were displayed on a calibrated 120-Hz Samsung SyncMaster 2230R7 22-inch monitor (Samsung Group, Seoul, South Korea), which has a resolution of 1,680 × 1,050 pixels, extending 61° horizontally and 38° vertically at a viewing

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: J. Chen, Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Fachbereich 06, Psychologie und Sportwissenschaft, Abteilung Allgemeine Psychologie, Otto-Behaghel-Straße 10F, 35394 Gießen, Germany (e-mail: Jing.Chen@psychol.uni-giessen.de).

distance of 40 cm. Experiments were programmed using the Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard 1997; Kleiner et al. 2007) in Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA). Figure 1 shows the stimulus displays in the experiment. The blue spot (0.5° in radius) served as either the fixation spot or the pursuit target in different conditions. Horizontal gratings (spatial frequency = 0.34 cycles/°) in the background were counter-phase flickering at 7.5 Hz. In the fixation condition, the blue spot remained stationary in the center. In the pursuit condition, the blue spot moved horizontally back and forth between 18° to the left and 18° to the right. The trials lasted 150 s each, resulting in 15 cycles of target motion. Each half-cycle of the movement consisted of an acceleration phase (0.83 s, speed growing from 0 to 8.77°/s), a steady phase (3.33 s, speed = 8.77°/s), and a deceleration phase (0.83 s, speed decreasing from 8.77°/s to 0). We chose to maximize the length of the epochs at a constant target speed, since the effect of smooth pursuit on color processing has been shown to vary with pursuit speed (Schütz et al. 2008).

Procedures. We adopted a single trial design, with one trial for each condition that lasted 150 s (e.g., see Rossion and Boremanse 2011 for a SSVEP study with a single trial design). Manipulations on eye movements (fixation vs. smooth pursuit), type of flickering stimulus (luminance-defined vs. color-defined stimuli), and contrast of stimulus (low vs. high contrast) resulted in eight conditions, i.e., eight trials. The sequence of the trials was randomized. The two levels of contrast, defined as the maximally possible modulation achievable in Derrington-Krauskopf-Lennie (DKL) color space on our monitor, were 6 and 24% for luminance-defined stimulus and 12 and 48% for the color-defined stimulus. The contrasts were chosen such that the amplitude of SSVEPs induced by colored stimuli was comparable to the SSVEP amplitude induced by luminance stimuli based on the results of a pilot study with both fixation and pursuit. Both modulations were centered at the white point of our monitor at Commission Internationale de L'Éclairage (CIE) xyY = (0.33, 0.36, 108 cd/m^2). For the achromatic stimuli, the modulation was only in luminance, with the flickering grating bars ranging from 102 to 114 cd/m^2 at 6% contrast and from 82 to 134 cd/m^2 at 24% contrast. For the red-green stimuli, luminance was fixed at 108 cd/m^2, whereas color was modulated between CIE xy = (0.35, 0.34) and (0.31, 0.36) at 12% chromatic contrast and between CIE xy = (0.40, 0.31) and (0.24, 0.40) at 48% contrast. We chose not to isolate each observer's individual point of isoluminance, since potential luminance artifacts in our stimuli would show up as failures to find an effect, i.e., they would work against us.



Fig. 1. Stimuli used in two experiments. The blue spot remained in the center to serve as the fixation spot in the fixation condition or was moving back and forth horizontally as the pursuit target in the pursuit condition. The achromatic black and white grating (*A*) or the equiluminant red-green grating (*B*) in the background was pattern-reverse flickering at 7.5 Hz to elicit steady-state visually evoked potential (SSVEP) responses.

Eye movement recordings and analyses. Eye movements from the right eye were recorded at 1,000 Hz using an Eyelink 1000 table-mounted eye tracker (SR Research, Missisauga, ON, Canada). A chin rest was used to limit the head movements. We used an independent device (NI-6009; National Instruments, Austin, TX) to generate a digital trigger to feed into the eye tracker and the EEG system for synchronization.

EEG recordings and analyses. EEGs were recorded from 32 scalp sites according to the international 10–20 system (FP1, FP2, F3, F4, C3, C4, P3, P4, O1, O2, F7, F8, T7, T8, P7, P8, Fz, Pz, Oz, FC1, FC2, CP1, CP2, FC5, FC6, CP5, CP6, TP9, TP10, HLeo, Veo, HReo). A BrainAmp amplifier (Brain Products, Munich, Germany) sampled signals at 1,000 Hz. The ground electrode was placed at the AFz, and the online reference at the Cz. We kept electrode impedances below 5 kΩ.

EEGlab toolbox (Delorme and Makeig 2004) and customized scripts in Matlab were used to analyze EEG data. Signals were first rereferenced to average reference. Each 150-s trial was decomposed into 30 successive 5-s epochs. In each epoch, we discarded the first 0.5 s and the last 0.5 s, as the smooth pursuit was just started or was about to stop. The remaining 4-s epoch was detrended by removing the linear fit (Bach and Meigen 1999) and zero-padded to 10 s to get a frequency resolution of 0.1 Hz. The amplitude spectrum was then obtained by fast-Fourier transformation (*fft.m* in Matlab). To calculate SSVEP amplitude, we summed amplitudes at five harmonics (7.5, 15, 22.5, 30, and 37.5 Hz). At each harmonic frequency (e.g., 7.5 Hz), we subtracted from the peak amplitude the average amplitude at nearby bins (e.g., 7.2, 7.3, 7.7, and 7.8 Hz; two immediately adjacent bins were excluded). As a result, background noise was discounted from the computed SSVEP amplitude (e.g., Liu-Shuang, Torfs, and Rossion 2016). Because SSVEP responses in the present study were exclusively located at O1, Oz, and O2 electrodes (Fig. 3), we used the average value of these three electrodes for statistical testing.

RESULTS

We first analyzed the eye movement data. Figure 2*A* shows horizontal eye/target traces in an example epoch. Because the flickering stimuli were horizontal gratings (Fig. 1), horizontal pursuit does not induce any retinal image motion. However, some residual vertical eye movements still occur during pursuit and during fixation. As shown in Fig. 2*B*, residual vertical eye movement velocities were not different between conditions (all P values >0.32). This excludes the possibility that retinal image motion can explain the enhancement of the SSVEP responses during pursuit. We further compared horizontal pursuit velocity between the luminance stimulus condition and the colored stimulus condition, calculated by differentiating



Fig. 2. *A*: eye and target traces in an example epoch of 10 s. Shaded periods are the central 4 s in each one-way pursuit, where we analyzed SSVEPs. Each trial consists of 15 such epochs. *B*: absolute vertical eye movement velocity. No significant differences were observed between conditions. *C*: pursuit velocities in the two pursuit conditions. There was no difference between the luminance- and color-pursuit conditions. Error bars show the mean ± between-observer SD.

position data after excluding saccades. In the central 4 s of each epoch (where we analyzed SSVEPs), the average pursuit velocity was 7.3°/s (SD = 0.77) in the luminance flicker condition and 7.2°/s (SD = 0.68) in the color flicker condition, $t(24) = 0.57$, $P = 0.57$. Because there is no significant difference in the eye movements between luminance and color, the difference in SSVEPs cannot be explained by pursuit behavior or the corresponding retinal image motion.

Figure 3, *A* and *B*, shows the topographic plots of SSVEP amplitudes for luminance flickers and color flickers, showing a typical SSVEP response confined at O1, Oz, and O2 electrodes. Figure 3*C* shows the amplitude spectrum. The amplitude at the stimulation frequency (7.5 Hz) for color-flickering stimuli is higher during pursuit than during fixation.

We computed SSVEP amplitude by subtracting background noise and summing all harmonics. The same pattern of results holds for both rightward and leftward pursuit; we thus collapsed both directions in the following analyses. The result is shown in Fig. 4. A 2 (eye movements: fix vs. pursuit) × 2 (type of stimulus: luminance vs. color) × 2 (contrast level: low vs. high) repeated- measure ANOVA revealed a main effect of contrast, $F(1, 24) = 135.57$, $P < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.85$, indicating a higher SSVEP response for the high-contrast stimulus than for the low-contrast stimulus, and an interaction between eye movements and type of stimulus, $F(1, 24) = 7.83$, $P = 0.01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.25$, indicating different effects of eye movements for luminance and color stimuli. We then proceeded to analyze the luminance and color stimuli separately. The two contrast levels were aggregated, since contrast did not interact with eye movements or types of stimuli. For luminance stimuli, there was not any significant difference between fixation and pursuit, $t(24) = 1.15$, $P = 0.26$ (Fig. 4*B*). For colored stimuli, the SSVEP amplitude during pursuit was significantly higher than that during fixation, $t(24) = 4.16$, $P < 0.001$ (Fig. 4*C*). The average increase in percentage was 18.8% across 25 observers (95% confidence interval 7.8–29.9%). This result confirms our hypothesis that smooth-pursuit eye movements enhance brain responses to colored stimuli but not to luminance stimuli.

DISCUSSION

Our results show that SSVEP responses induced by equiluminant colored flickering stimuli are enhanced during smooth pursuit. Because equiluminant red-green stimuli mainly drive the parvocellular pathway, this result supports the idea that smooth-pursuit eye movements boost the parvocellular visual system. This constitutes a confirmation and clarification of earlier results showing a perceptual improvement of color processing during pursuit. Schütz et al. (2008) reported that smooth-pursuit eye movements decrease the detection threshold for chromatic stimuli, coherent with our finding of increased SSVEP amplitude. Schütz et al. (2008) also reported a small decrease in the sensitivity to achromatic luminance stimuli during smooth pursuit, whereas we did not find any decrease in SSVEP amplitude. Most likely this discrepancy is because their participants were required to attend to peripheral locations to report the presence of the probe stimulus. The decrease of sensitivity to luminance was most likely due to the fact that at least some attentional resources had to be withdrawn from the periphery and allocated to the area around the pursuit target (Khurana and Kowler 1987; Kerzel and Ziegler 2005). This problem does not apply to our study, since the observers did not have to attend to the periphery to report the probe stimulus and the flickering stimulus was presented throughout the display. This exemplifies one of the major advantages of the SSVEP technique, the fact that it allows measurement of brain responses in the absence of an overt task, thereby reducing the impact of such attentional confounds. Terao et al. (2010) reported that smooth pursuit reduces the fusion of sliding stimuli with opposite colors presented in a retinotopic spatial framework. Their results suggest that this increased temporal resolution is due to deblurring of the pursuit-related retinal slip, as evidenced by the fact that sensitivity was not increased if the stimuli were flickering rather than sliding.

Note that image motion cannot account for the SSVEP difference between fixation and pursuit, and between color and luminance stimuli. We circumvent horizontal retinal image motion by using horizontal gratings. There were, of course, residual vertical eye movements, but these were of comparable magnitude in all four conditions (Fig. 2*B*).

What is the functional role of an enhancement in parvocellular signaling during pursuit? It has been argued elsewhere (Gegenfurtner 2016; Schütz et al. 2008) that the increase in



Fig. 3. Topographic plots of SSVEP amplitudes (calculated by summing 5 harmonics) for luminance flickers (*A*) and color flickers (*B*). *C*: grand-average amplitude spectrum for all 4 conditions at the fundamental pattern-reversal frequency (7.5 Hz).

color sensitivity could be an efficient way to reduce the effects of blur, since blur mainly occurs for colored and high-spatial-frequency stimuli (Kelly 1983). Another hypothesis is that the sensitivity increase underlies the oculomotor response (Gegenfurtner 2016). To sustain pursuit, the eyes have to detect small changes in stimulus speed. There is ample evidence that there are two channels for motion perception, a slow motion channel and a fast motion channel (Gegenfurtner and Hawken 1996; Kulikowski and Tolhurst 1973; Thompson 1983; van der Smagt et al. 1999). A boost in the slow motion channel, likely to be mediated by the parvocellular system, could increase sensitivity to slow speed changes and thus enhance pursuit. This way, the enhanced color processing might just be a side effect. Either way, the underlying effect has been proven to be very robust and linked to goal-directed pursuit movements (Schütz et al. 2009b, 2009c).

It is believed that the SSVEPs are generated in the primary visual cortex (Di Russo et al. 2007; Müller et al. 1997). SSVEPs have been widely used in accessing low-level visual functions and attention. Previous studies reported modulation effects on SSVEP responses by adaptation (Ales and Norcia 2009), binocular rivalry (Brown and Norcia 1997; Zhang et al. 2011), spatial attention (Morgan et al. 1996; Müller et al. 1998), and feature-based attention (Müller et al. 2006). Our study shows that the SSVEPs can also be modulated by the execution of eye movements.

Our results are also relevant to the question as to what is the best method to assess magnocellular and parvocellular signal-



Fig. 4. *A*: SSVEP amplitudes in the 4 conditions, plotted as a function of stimulus contrast. Higher-contrast stimuli induce larger SSVEPs. For colored stimuli, SSVEPs were higher during the execution of pursuit eye movements compared with fixation. Error bars represent within-participant 95% confidence intervals (Cousineau 2005). *B* and *C* show individual observer SSVEP amplitudes after aggregating the contrast conditions. *B*: for luminance gratings, no difference emerges between fixation and pursuit. *C*: for color gratings, most data points are above the diagonal line, corresponding to higher SSVEP amplitude for pursuit than fixation. Black bars show bootstrap (n = 5000) 95% confidence intervals of the mean along the negative-slope diagonal line.

ing in SSVEPs. Previous studies have proposed different levels of luminance-defined contrast as a tool to measure magnocellular and parvocellular functions in schizophrenia (Green et al. 2009; Zemon and Gordon 2006). There is, however, some controversy on its effectiveness to isolate the two visual pathways (Skottun and Skoyles 2011; Skottun 2014). A more established dissociation between magnocellular neurons and parvocellular neurons is in color processing, since magnocellular neurons are not tuned color-opponent, while parvocellular neurons are (De Valois et al. 1966; Derrington et al. 1984; Lee et al. 1988). Our finding that SSVEPs to color- and luminance-modulated stimuli are selectively modulated by smooth-pursuit eye movements suggests that using colored stimuli during pursuit is a suitable way to assess the parvocellular and magnocellular visual pathways and could constitute a useful tool both in vision research and clinic applications, as long as smooth pursuit is not impaired in patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Pierre-Pascal Forster for help with EEG data collection.

GRANTS

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) International Research Training Group, IRTG 1901, "The Brain in Action," and by the DFG SFB TRR 135, "Cardinal Mechanisms of Perception."

DISCLOSURES

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the authors.

ENDNOTE

At the request of the author(s), readers are herein alerted to the fact that additional materials related to this manuscript (kinematic data and participants' responses from the detection task) may be found at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.808197. These materials are not a part of this manuscript, and have not undergone peer review by the American Physiological Society (APS). APS and the journal editors take no responsibility for these materials, for the website address, or for any links to or from it.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.C., M.V., and K.R.G. conceived and designed research; J.C. performed experiments; J.C. analyzed data; J.C., M.V., and K.R.G. interpreted results of experiments; J.C. prepared figures; J.C. drafted manuscript; J.C., M.V., and K.R.G. edited and revised manuscript; J.C., M.V., and K.R.G. approved final version of manuscript.

REFERENCES

Ales JM, Norcia AM. Assessing direction-specific adaptation using the steady-state visual evoked potential: results from EEG source imaging. *J Vis* 9: 8, 2009. doi:10.1167/9.7.8.

Bach M, Meigen T. Do's and don'ts in Fourier analysis of steady-state potentials. *Doc Ophthalmol* 99: 69–82, 1999. doi:10.1023/A:1002648202420.

Brainard DH. The psychophysics toolbox. *Spat Vis* 10: 433–436, 1997. doi:10.1163/156856897X00357.

Brown RJ, Norcia AM. A method for investigating binocular rivalry in real-time with the steady-state VEP. *Vision Res* 37: 2401–2408, 1997. doi:10.1016/S0042-6989(97)00045-X.

Burr DC, Morrone MC, Ross J. Selective suppression of the magnocellular visual pathway during saccadic eye movements. *Nature* 371: 511–513, 1994. doi:10.1038/371511a0.

Cousineau D. Confidence intervals in within-subject designs: a simpler solution to Loftus and Masson's method. *Tutor Quant Methods Psychol* 1: 42–45, 2005. doi:10.20982/tqmp.01.1.p042.

Delorme A, Makeig S. EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. *J Neurosci Methods* 134: 9–21, 2004. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009.

Derrington AM, Krauskopf J, Lennie P. Chromatic mechanisms in lateral geniculate nucleus of macaque. *J Physiol* 357: 241–265, 1984. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1984.sp015499.

De Valois RL, Abramov I, Jacobs GH. Analysis of response patterns of LGN cells. *J Opt Soc Am* 56: 966–977, 1966. doi:10.1364/JOSA.56.000966.

Di Russo F, Pitzalis S, Aprile T, Spitoni G, Patria F, Stella A, Spinelli D, Hillyard SA. Spatiotemporal analysis of the cortical sources of the steady-state visual evoked potential. *Hum Brain Mapp* 28: 323–334, 2007. doi:10.1002/hbm.20276.

Dobkins KR, Albright TD. What happens if it changes color when it moves?: the nature of chromatic input to macaque visual area MT. *J Neurosci* 14: 4854–4870, 1994.

Gegenfurtner KR. The interaction between vision and eye movements. *Perception* 45: 1333–1357, 2016. doi:10.1177/0301006616657097.

Gegenfurtner KR, Hawken MJ. Interaction of motion and color in the visual pathways. *Trends Neurosci* 19: 394–401, 1996. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(96)10036-9.

Gegenfurtner KR, Kiper DC, Beusmans JM, Carandini M, Zaidi Q, Movshon JA. Chromatic properties of neurons in macaque MT. *Vis Neurosci* 11: 455–466, 1994. doi:10.1017/S095252380000239X.

Green MF, Butler PD, Chen Y, Geyer MA, Silverstein S, Wynn JK, Yoon JH, Zemon V. Perception measurement in clinical trials of schizophrenia: promising paradigms from CNTRICS. *Schizophr Bull* 35: 163–181, 2009. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbn156.

Kelly DH. Spatiotemporal variation of chromatic and achromatic contrast thresholds. *J Opt Soc Am* 73: 742–750, 1983. doi:10.1364/JOSA.73.000742.

Kerzel D, Ziegler NE. Visual short-term memory during smooth pursuit eye movements. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform* 31: 354–372, 2005. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.31.2.354.

Khurana B, Kowler E. Shared attentional control of smooth eye movement and perception. *Vision Res* 27: 1603–1618, 1987. doi:10.1016/0042-6989(87)90168-4.

Kleiner M, Brainard D, Pelli D, Ingling A, Murray R, Broussard C. What's new in psychtoolbox-3. *Perception* 36: 1–16, 2007.

Kulikowski JJ, Tolhurst DJ. Psychophysical evidence for sustained and transient detectors in human vision. *J Physiol* 232: 149–162, 1973. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1973.sp010261.

Lee BB, Martin PR, Valberg A. The physiological basis of heterochromatic flicker photometry demonstrated in the ganglion cells of the macaque retina. *J Physiol* 404: 323–347, 1988. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1988.sp017292.

Liu-Shuang J, Torfs K, Rossion B. An objective electrophysiological marker of face individualisation impairment in acquired prosopagnosia with fast periodic visual stimulation. *Neuropsychologia* 83: 100–113, 2016. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.08.023.

Morgan ST, Hansen JC, Hillyard SA. Selective attention to stimulus location modulates the steady-state visual evoked potential. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93: 4770–4774, 1996. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.10.4770.

Müller MM, Andersen S, Trujillo NJ, Valdés-Sosa P, Malinowski P, Hillyard SA. Feature-selective attention enhances color signals in early visual areas of the human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103: 14250–14254, 2006. doi:10.1073/pnas.0606668103.

Müller MM, Teder W, Hillyard SA. Magnetoencephalographic recording of steady-state visual evoked cortical activity. *Brain Topogr* 9: 163–168, 1997. doi:10.1007/BF01190385.

Müller MM, Teder-Sälejärvi W, Hillyard SA. The time course of cortical facilitation during cued shifts of spatial attention. *Nat Neurosci* 1: 631–634, 1998. doi:10.1038/2865.

Norcia AM, Appelbaum LG, Ales JM, Cottereau BR, Rossion B. The steady-state visual evoked potential in vision research: A review. *J Vis* 15: 4, 2015. doi:10.1167/15.6.4.

Rossion B, Boremanse A. Robust sensitivity to facial identity in the right human occipito-temporal cortex as revealed by steady-state visual-evoked potentials. *J Vis* 11: 16, 2011. doi:10.1167/11.2.16.

Schütz AC, Braun DI, Gegenfurtner KR. Object recognition during foveating eye movements. *Vision Res* 49: 2241–2253, 2009a. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2009.05.022.

Schütz AC, Braun DI, Gegenfurtner KR. Improved visual sensitivity during smooth pursuit eye movements: temporal and spatial characteristics. *Vis Neurosci* 26: 329–340, 2009b. doi:10.1017/S0952523809990083.

Schütz AC, Braun DI, Gegenfurtner KR. Chromatic contrast sensitivity during optokinetic nystagmus, visually enhanced vestibulo-ocular reflex, and smooth pursuit eye movements. *J Neurophysiol* 101: 2317–2327, 2009c. doi:10.1152/jn.91248.2008.

Schütz AC, Braun DI, Kerzel D, Gegenfurtner KR. Improved visual sensitivity during smooth pursuit eye movements. *Nat Neurosci* 11: 1211–1216, 2008. doi:10.1038/nn.2194.

Schütz AC, Delipetkos E, Braun DI, Kerzel D, Gegenfurtner KR. Temporal contrast sensitivity during smooth pursuit eye movements. *J Vis* 7: 1–15, 2007. doi:10.1167/7.13.3.

Skottun BC. A few observations on linking VEP responses to the magno- and parvocellular systems by way of contrast-response functions. *Int J Psychophysiol* 91: 147–154, 2014. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.01.005.

Skottun BC, Skoyles JR. On identifying magnocellular and parvocellular responses on the basis of contrast-response functions. *Schizophr Bull* 37: 23–26, 2011. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbq114.

Terao M, Watanabe J, Yagi A, Nishida S. Smooth pursuit eye movements improve temporal resolution for color perception. *PLoS One* 5: e11214, 2010. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011214.

Thompson P. Discrimination of moving gratings at and above detection threshold. *Vision Res* 23: 1533–1538, 1983. doi:10.1016/0042-6989(83)90166-9.

Turano KA, Heidenreich SM. Eye movements affect the perceived speed of visual motion. *Vision Res* 39: 1177–1187, 1999. doi:10.1016/S0042-6989(98)00174-6.

van der Smagt MJ, Verstraten FA, van de Grind WA. A new transparent motion aftereffect. *Nat Neurosci* 2: 595–596, 1999. doi:10.1038/10150.

Zemon V, Gordon J. Luminance-contrast mechanisms in humans: visual evoked potentials and a nonlinear model. *Vision Res* 46: 4163–4180, 2006. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2006.07.007.

Zhang P, Jamison K, Engel S, He B, He S. Binocular rivalry requires visual attention. *Neuron* 71: 362–369, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.05.035.